Let’s Quiz

Inception Iteration 2 (2)

[Note: Text enclosed in square brackets and displayed in blue italics (style=InfoBlue) is included to provide guidance to the author and should be deleted before publishing the document.]

# 1. Key milestones

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 29th March |
| First drafts due for Master test Plan and Proposed Architecture | 1st April |
| Review of Proposed Architecture first draft | 3rd April |
| Review of Initial Requirements first draft | 4th April |
| Final draft of all major documents due for review | 4th April |
| Review of all major Document final drafts | 6th April |
| Global review of all major documents due | 8th April |
|  |  |
| Iteration stop | 9th April |

# 2. High-level objectives

2.1. Submit Master Test Plan and Proposed Architecture first drafts ready for review and amend as stated by reviewer

2.2. Submit final drafts of all major documents to version control

2.3. Single and global reviews of each major document required for LCOM

# 3. Evaluation criteria

3.1 Submit a project Vision Document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Must clearly address the business case and capture the business value of the proposed application
2. Justification must be shown for the proposed application
3. Stakeholders should be specified and their interests in the project clearly noted
4. All functional requirements identified in relation to the business terms and needs
5. All features of the proposed application explained in terms of the business needs
6. All non-functional requirements identified in relation to the business needs

3.2 Submit a Requirements Model to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Show correct end goal and sub function use cases
2. Show all critical use cases correctly identified and named
3. Show that all external actors have been identified
4. Correctly drawn use case diagram shown
5. Show that use cases meet the needs and features shown in the vision
6. Correctly drawn domain model shown with all relationships expressed

3.3 Submit a Proposed Architecture document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Show all high priority NFR’s are completely explained
2. Show all architecturally significant requirements correctly explained
3. A list of the architectural mechanisms relating to the architecturally significant requirements found
4. All NFR’s appropriately addressed

3.4 Submit a Technical Competency Demonstrator to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. A application that demonstrates the aspects of the chosen architecture working together
2. Show a directory with the correct files and libraries exists in version control

3.5 Submit a Risk List document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Show a list of risks have been identified and prioritised
2. Fully explained mitigation strategies explained

3.5 Submit a Master Test Plan document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Explain the overall testing strategy clearly
2. Tests to be undertaken and the techniques to be used
3. Acceptance and failure criteria identified

3.6 Submit a Project Plan document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. That specifies the high level objectives of all iterations
2. It should explain the architectural element and what functionality will be delivered
3. Shows a high level of contingency planning

3.7 Submit an Inception Phase Status Assessment document to version control for LCOM assignment 2 as stated below

1. Must note the overall progress of the project in conduction with the inception phase of the project
2. All deliverables are discussed and reported on
3. Risk identification and mitigation strategies are discussed
4. Gives a clear understanding of the overall progress of the project

# 4. Work Item assignments

The following Work Items will be addressed in this iteration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** | **Estimate of hours remaining** |
| 1.1 | Master Test Plan | Document will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will then be made specifying Col as reviewer.  (1/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Michelle/Master%20Test%20Plan.docx) | Michelle | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Master Test Plan Review | Col will comment directly on the document and upload to version control  Michelle will then make alterations and upload to version control  (4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/14) | Collin | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1.3 | Proposed Architecture | Document will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will then be made specifying Michelle as reviewer.  (1/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Aaron/Proposed%20Architecture.docx) | Aaron | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 1.4 | Proposed Architecture Review | Michelle will comment directly on the document and upload to version control  Aaron will make any appropriate alterations and upload to version control (3.4.18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/7) | Michelle | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2.1 | Vision Document | Document will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will be made specifying Charnes as reviewer.  (4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Col/Documentation/Vision Draft.docx) | Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.2 | Proposed Architecture | Final Draft of document will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will then be made specifying Michelle as reviewer.(4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Aaron/Proposed%20Architecture.docx) | Aaron | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.3 | Technical Competency | Technical Competency will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will then be made specifying Aaron as reviewer (4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/tree/Charnes/Techinal Compentency) | Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.4 | Initial Requirement Model | Document will be submitted to version control meeting LCOM criteria. A request will then be made specifying Col as reviewer (4/3/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Michelle/Initial%20Requirement%20Model.docx) | Michelle | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.5 | Vision Document Review | Charnes to review Collin’s Vision draft (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/8) | Charnes | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.6 | Technical Competency Review | Aaron to review Charnes Technical Competency draft (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/9) | Aaron | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.7 | Initial Requirement Model Review | Collin to review Michelle’s Initial Requirement draft (6/4/18) | [complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commits/be3242254546e712ee0b9a34dc9c00e373e0c441/Initial%20Requirement%20Model.docx) [Link1](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/f4a047f4f1dfa19295e93e1cad10362dcd6c1371#diff-0e640ff16545ede4438fb96d44379536)  [Link2](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/c0a52df33e7125f33273625ee4ec979bd84757fb#diff-0e640ff16545ede4438fb96d44379536)  [Link3](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/ba28f6942b2a5163b4086d0119b385b1d8cf23b5#diff-0e640ff16545ede4438fb96d44379536) | Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.8 | Proposed Architecture Review | Michelle will comment directly on the final draft document and upload to version control  Aaron will make any appropriate alterations and upload to version control (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/7) | Michelle | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.9 | Risk List | Aaron submit final draft to version control and request a review by Michelle(4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Aaron/Risk mangaement Log.xlsx) | Aaron | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.10 | Project Plan | Charnes submit final draft to version control (4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Charnes/Documentation/ProjectPlan.doc) | Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.11 | Master Test Plan | Michelle to submit final draft to version control and request a review by Col  (4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Michelle/Master%20Test%20Plan.docx) | Michelle | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2.12 | Inception Phase Status | Collin submit final draft to version control and request a review by Charnes(4/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Col/Documentation/Inception%20Phase%20Status%20Assessment.docx) | Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2,13 | Risk List Review | Michelle to review Aaron’s Risk List draft comment directly on it and upload for Aaron to revise (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/7) | Michelle | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.14 | Project Plan Review | Aaron to review Charnes Project Plan draft comment directly on it and upload for Charnes to revise (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/11) | Aaron | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.15 | Master Test Plan Review | Collin to review Michelle’s Master Test draft comment directly on it and upload for Michelle to revise (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/cbc866b64aa19eefc752e8ffe51eae63cda1d563#diff-0fe90aada072ccfb7fa9df48b75f0226) | Collin | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2.16 | Inception Phase Status Review | Charnes to review Collin’s Inception Phase Status draft comment directly on it and upload for Aaron to revise (6/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Charnes/Documentation/ProjectPlan.doc) | Charnes | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3.1 | Global Vision Document Review | Every team member to review Collin’s Vision draft (8/4/18) | Complete  [Michelle’s Evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/eb92a4c2a18e707dc3cfbe32e61e1e2570916630) | Michelle  Aaron  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.2 | Global Technical Competency Review | Every team member to review Charnes Technical Competency draft (8/4/18) | Complete  [Cols evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/blob/Col/evidence%20of%20work/evidence%20for%20TechComp.PNG) | Michelle  Aaron  Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.3 | Global Initial Requirement Model Review | Every team member to review Michelle’s Initial Requirement draft (8/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/14)  [Cols-evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/ba28f6942b2a5163b4086d0119b385b1d8cf23b5#diff-0e640ff16545ede4438fb96d44379536) | Aaron  Collin  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.4 | Global Proposed Architecture Review | Every team member to review Aaron’s Proposed Architecture draft (8/4/18) | Complete  [Col-evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/41dc284a61c396767d34f6d2c20c52c3b2ce337c)  [Michelle’s evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/4e943244dd72188795605e5198b90d566b49119b) | Michelle  Collin  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.5 | Global Risk List Review | Every team member to review Aaron’s Risk List draft (8/4/18) | Complete  [Col-evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/9a9be83f1eb44887c7e1a39d8afc6f346aef621f)  [Mich-Evidence](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/commit/8b73bf18f8a8bacf595a9d24696a287ee0542c9a) | Michelle  Collin  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.6 | Global Project Plan Review | Every team member to review Charnes Project Plan draft (8/4/18) | Complete | Michelle  Aaron  Collin | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.7 | Global Master Test Plan Review | Every team member to review Michelle’s Master Test draft (8/4/18) | [Complete](https://github.com/coldog86/Development-Project/pull/14) | Aaron  Collin  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3.8 | Global Inception Phase Status | Every team member to review Collin’s Inception Phase Status draft (8/4/18) | Complete | Michelle  Aaron  Charnes | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4.1 | Upload links to LCOM page on wiki | Every team member to link their final draft to team wiki (8/4/18) | [Complete](https://interact2.csu.edu.au/webapps/Bb-wiki-BBLEARN/wikiView?course_id=_33735_1&wiki_id=_19741_1&page_guid=b68afc43fc4b4b7cac402d174a38293c) | Michelle  Aaron  Collin  Charnes | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.2 | Sign off meeting | Team meeting (9/4/18) | Complete | Michelle  Aaron  Collin  Charnes | 1 | 0 | 1 |

# 5. Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| None | Closed |  |

# 6. Assessment

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target | Iteration # 2 |
| Assessment date | 9th April |
| Participants | Aaron, Col, Charnes, Michelle |
| Project status | Green |

## Assessment against objectives

All objectives were met by the due dates assigned. All documents were assigned to team members as specified and all were completed and reviewed in a timely manner. .

## Work Items: Planned compared to actually completed

All work items were completed on time and in the estimated timeframe all documents and the required Technical competency are in version control ready for marking as this iteration closed with the due date of LCOM assessment 2. All documents were finalised in the allocated time.

## Assessment against Evaluation Criteria Test results

All documents and criteria were reviewed at final document stage ready for the finalisation of LCOM assessment 2 due the 9th of April all needed criteria was finalised, reviewed and signed off on.

## Other concerns and deviations